

Interview Summary

Application No. 09/397,018

Williams

merview cammary	Michael Colaianni	1731	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Michael Colaianni	(3) Paul Rivard		
(2) Susan Wolffe	(4) Jonnie Williams		
			
Date of Interview Aug 8, 2000	-		
Type: \square Telephonic \boxtimes Personal (copy is given to \square applicant \boxtimes applicant's representative).			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes	No. If yes, brief description:		
Agreement			
Claim(s) discussed: new claims 53, 58			
Identification of prior art discussed: Marley et al. 4790335; Buensod 1568316; Bokelman et al.	l. 4355648		
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if Mr. Williams gave a brief description of the invention, which prevent the formation of the nitrosamines. Mr. Rivard indice Marley uses direct fired burner which results in the release indicated that the language "substantially free of combustion direct fired burner. It was agreed to remove the word "substantially free of combustion exhaust gases." Examiner Colaianni indicated the However, further consideration may be required to ascertain indicated that he could not commit to an indication of allow	ch comprises adding exhaust free cated that the Marley reference is of combustion exhaust gases in son exhaust gases" did not necess estantially from claims 53 and 50 that this appears to overcome the fin the full meaning of the claims	e air to the curing has been overcon to the barn. Exan sarily remove the 8 before the lang e Marley et al. re	barn to me because miner Colaianni use of a mage "free of ference.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no co is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	dments, if available, which the ex opy of the amendents which wou	xaminer agreed v ld render the clai	vould render ms allowable
1. 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ	ate record of the substance of th	e interview.	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate the LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUSECTION 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF	JDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN s already been filed, APPLICANT	NTERVIEW. (See IS GIVEN ONE I	MPEP
2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (in each of the objections, rejections and requirements claims are now allowable, this completed form is confice action. Applicant is not relieved from proving is also checked.	s that may be present in the last considered to fulfill the response	Office action, an requirements of t	d since the he last
Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an a	ttachment to a signed Office action.		